

# FHI-vibes: *Ab Initio* Vibrational Simulations

Florian Knoop<sup>1</sup>, Thomas A. R. Purcell<sup>1</sup>, Matthias Scheffler<sup>1</sup>, and Christian Carbogno<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fritz Haber Institute of the Max Planck Society, Berlin, Germany

DOI: [10.21105/joss.02601](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02601)

## Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Pending Editor](#) ↗

Submitted: 25 August 2020

Published: 26 August 2020

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Introduction

The vibrational motion of nuclei determines many important properties of materials, including their thermodynamic equilibrium and non-equilibrium properties. Accurately assessing the nuclear dynamics and the associated material properties is therefore an important task for computational materials scientists in a broad range of sub-fields. Of particular importance are simulation techniques that build on first-principles electronic-structure simulations and thereby allow to systematically investigate the virtually infinite space of materials, including those systems for which little or no experimental data is hitherto available (Curtarolo et al., 2013). This allows one to design novel and improved materials with optimal properties for many applications, e.g., high-performance thermal insulators for gas and airplane turbines (Evans, Clarke, & Levi, 2008), organic semiconductors with long-term phase stabilities (Salzillo et al., 2016), thermoelectric generators (Snyder & Toberer, 2008), and improved thermal management systems (Tian & Ren, 2019).

Essentially, there are two distinct routes towards assessing vibrational properties: In perturbative *lattice dynamics* techniques, the potential-energy surface on which the nuclei move is approximated with a Taylor expansion around the equilibrium structure. Typically, one starts from a second-order expansion, i.e., the *harmonic approximation*, which allows for an analytic solution of the equations of motion (Dove, 1993) and thus for a straightforward evaluation of observables (thermodynamic expectation values). Higher-order terms in the Taylor expansion can be accounted for perturbatively. Conversely, *molecular dynamics* (MD) based approaches account for the full, non-perturbative potential-energy surface *without* approximating the actual interactions. This requires one to solve the equations of motion numerically by propagating the atoms in time; physical properties can then be extracted as time averages of properly chosen observables (Tuckerman, 2010). Although both *lattice dynamics* and *molecular dynamics* techniques aim at computing the same physical observables, the involved methodologies, formalisms, and challenges are quite different. Accordingly, both methodologies also have different strengths and weaknesses: For instance, performing and analyzing MD simulations is typically computationally and conceptually more challenging, whereas perturbative lattice dynamics calculations inherently rely on approximations that are hard to validate.

To date, a variety of different software packages exists at different degrees of sophistication in both fields. Prominent examples are the *phonopy* code (Togo & Tanaka, 2015) for performing *lattice dynamics* calculations using Parlinski's finite-difference formalism (Parlinski, Li, & Kawazoe, 1997) and the *i-PI* code (Kapil et al., 2019) for performing classical MD and quantum-mechanical path-integral MD simulations. Both packages interface with a variety of first-principles codes like *VASP* (Kresse & Furthmüller, 1996), *QuantumEspresso* (Giannozzi et al., 2009), *Abinit* (Gonze et al., 2020), *FHI-aims* (Blum et al., 2009), and several others.

## Statement of need

To date, there is no software solution that allows for the seamless bridging and interlinking of *lattice dynamics* and *molecular dynamics* based approaches, despite the fact that actual material science studies can profit in accuracy and efficiency by exploiting both approaches. For instance, this allows to accelerate *MD* calculations by starting from harmonic equilibrium configurations (West & Estreicher, 2006), to analyze *MD* simulations in terms of harmonic phonons (Turney, Landry, McGaughey, & Amon, 2009), to investigate the range of validity of the perturbative expansion used in *lattice dynamics* (Knoop, Purcell, Scheffler, & Carbogno, 2020), and to efficiently overcome finite size- and time-effects in *ab initio* Green Kubo simulations of the thermal conductivity (Carbogno, Ramprasad, & Scheffler, 2017). Given the wide opportunities for application, the aspect of *integration*, i.e., the ability to utilize different methodologies from distinct codes in a flexible fashion using a consistent user interface, is paramount. In particular, this is a prerequisite for automatizing these workflows to enable hierarchical high-throughput screening of whole material classes in a systematic fashion. For example, such a workflow would start from geometry optimizations followed by a study of harmonic properties for many materials, so to single out candidate materials for more involved, fully anharmonic aiMD simulation techniques. Along these lines, let us mention that providing descriptive input and output files is a prerequisite for sharing raw data and results in a transparent and interpretable way in the spirit of open science and the FAIR Principles (Draxl & Scheffler, 2018). On top of that, tracking the provenance (Huber et al., 2020) across different codes facilitates the repurposing and analysis of the obtained data.

## Summary

*FHI-vibes* is a *python* package that allows for such an integrated workflow. It uses the *Atomistic Simulation Environment (ASE)* (Hjorth Larsen et al., 2017) as a backend in order to represent materials and to connect to various first-principles codes, to implement methods like geometry optimization and MD, and to connect to external codes like *spglib* (Togo & Tanaka, 2018), *phonopy* (Togo & Tanaka, 2015), *phono3py* (Togo et al., 2015), and *hiphive* (Eriksson, Fransson, & Erhart, 2019) that implement lattice dynamics techniques. For all these tasks, *FHI-vibes* provides defined input files and a command line interface to set up and run calculations on local machines and clusters using the *slurm* submission system. The output is organized in self-contained and descriptive output files that enable a straightforward exchange of the data obtained with different methodologies. For advanced analysis, it provides an API fully compatible with *ASE* as well as *numpy* (van der Walt, Colbert, & Varoquaux, 2011), *pandas* (McKinney, 2011), and *xarray* (Hoyer & Hamman, 2017); several user-friendly utilities allow to perform the most common postprocessing tasks within the command-line interface, such as providing comprehensive summaries of MD simulations or phonon calculations.

*FHI-vibes* provides a connection to *FireWorks* (Jain et al., 2015), a workflow management system for running simulation workflows on extensive sets of materials in *high-throughput* fashion. *FHI-vibes* is tightly integrated with *FHI-aims* (Blum et al., 2009) to perform energy and force calculations, but extending the functionality to any calculator available via *ASE* is straightforward.

An extensive user guide including a comprehensive list of the available features, tutorials, and a reference documentation is available at [vibes.fhi-berlin.mpg.de](https://vibes.fhi-berlin.mpg.de).

*FHI-vibes* was used to produce the results in (Knoop et al., 2020).

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Roman Kempt and Marcel Hülsberg for testing and providing valuable feedback. F.K. would like to thank Marcel Langer and Zhenkun Yuan for feedback and Ask Hjorth Larsen for valuable discussions. T.P. would like to thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for their support through the Alexander von Humboldt Postdoctoral Fellowship Program. This project was supported by TEC1p (the European Research Council (ERC) Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, grant agreement No. 740233), BigMax (the Max Planck Society's Research Network on Big-Data-Driven Materials-Science), and the NOMAD pillar of the FAIR-DI e.V. association.

## References

- Blum, V., Gehrke, R., Hanke, F., Havu, P., Havu, V., Ren, X., Reuter, K., et al. (2009). Ab initio molecular simulations with numeric atom-centered orbitals. *Comp. Phys. Commun.*, *180*(11), 2175–2196. doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2009.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2009.06.022)
- Carbogno, C., Ramprasad, R., & Scheffler, M. (2017). Ab initio Green-Kubo Approach for the Thermal Conductivity of Solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *118*(175901), 1–5. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.175901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.175901)
- Curtarolo, S., Hart, G. L. W., Nardelli, M. B., Mingo, N., Sanvito, S., & Levy, O. (2013). The high-throughput highway to computational materials design. *Nature Materials*, *12*(3), 191–201. doi:[10.1038/nmat3568](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3568)
- Dove, M. (1993). *Introduction to lattice dynamics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Draxl, C., & Scheffler, M. (2018). NOMAD: The FAIR concept for big data-driven materials science. *MRS Bulletin*, *43*(9), 676–682. doi:[10.1557/mrs.2018.208](https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2018.208)
- Eriksson, F., Fransson, E., & Erhart, P. (2019). The Hiphive Package for the Extraction of High-Order Force Constants by Machine Learning. *Adv. Theor. Simul.*, *2*(5), 1800184. doi:[10.1002/adts.201800184](https://doi.org/10.1002/adts.201800184)
- Evans, A., Clarke, D., & Levi, C. (2008). The influence of oxides on the performance of advanced gas turbines. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, *28*(7), 1405–1419. doi:[10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2007.12.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2007.12.023)
- Giannozzi, P., Baroni, S., Bonini, N., Calandra, M., Car, R., Cavazzoni, C., Ceresoli, D., et al. (2009). QUANTUM espresso: A modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. *Journal of physics: Condensed matter*, *21*(39), 395502.
- Gonze, X., Amadon, B., Antonius, G., Arnardi, F., Baguet, L., Beuken, J.-M., Bieder, J., et al. (2020). The abinit project: Impact, environment and recent developments. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, *248*, 107042. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2019.107042>
- Hjorth Larsen, A., Jørgen Mortensen, J., Blomqvist, J., Castelli, I. E., Christensen, R., Duřak, M., Friis, J., et al. (2017). The atomic simulation environment - A Python library for working with atoms. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, *29*(27). doi:[10.1088/1361-648X/aa680e](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa680e)
- Hoyer, S., & Hamman, J. (2017). Xarray: N-D labeled arrays and datasets in Python. *Journal of Open Research Software*, *5*(1). doi:[10.5334/jors.148](https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148)
- Huber, S. P., Zoupanos, S., Uhrin, M., Talirz, L., Kahle, L., Häuselmann, R., Gresch, D., et al. (2020). AiiDA 1.0, a scalable computational infrastructure for automated reproducible workflows and data provenance.

- Jain, A., Ong, S. P., Chen, W., Medasani, B., Qu, X., Kocher, M., Brafman, M., et al. (2015). FireWorks: A dynamic workflow system designed for high-throughput applications. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 27(17), 5037–5059. doi:[10.1002/cpe.3505](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.3505)
- Kapil, V., Rossi, M., Marsalek, O., Petraglia, R., Litman, Y., Spura, T., Cheng, B., et al. (2019). I-pi 2.0: A universal force engine for advanced molecular simulations. *Computer Physics Communications*, 236, 214–223. doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2018.09.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2018.09.020)
- Knoop, F., Purcell, T. A. R., Scheffler, M., & Carbogno, C. (2020). Anharmonicity measure for materials. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2006.14672. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.14672>
- Kresse, G., & Furthmüller, J. (1996). Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Physical review B*, 54(16), 11169.
- McKinney, W. (2011). Pandas: A foundational python library for data analysis and statistics. *Python for High Performance and Scientific Computing*, 14.
- Parlinski, K., Li, Z., & Kawazoe, Y. (1997). First-Principles Determination of the Soft Mode in Cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 78(21), 4063–4066. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4063](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4063)
- Salzillo, T., Della Valle, R. G., Venuti, E., Brillante, A., Siegrist, T., Masino, M., Mezzadri, F., et al. (2016). Two new polymorphs of the organic semiconductor 9,10-diphenylanthracene: Raman and X-ray analysis. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 120(3), 1831–1840. doi:[10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b11115](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b11115)
- Snyder, G. J., & Toberer, E. S. (2008). Complex thermoelectric materials. *Nature Materials*, 7(2), 105–114. doi:[10.1038/nmat2090](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2090)
- Tian, F., & Ren, Z. (2019). High Thermal Conductivity in Boron Arsenide: From Prediction to Reality. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 58(18), 5824–5831. doi:[10.1002/anie.201812112](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201812112)
- Togo, A., Chaput, L., & Tanaka, I. (2015). Distributions of phonon lifetimes in brillouin zones. *Phys. Rev. B*, 91(9), 094306. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094306](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094306)
- Togo, A., & Tanaka, I. (2015). First principles phonon calculations in materials science. *Scr. Mater.*, 108, 1–5. doi:[10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.07.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.07.021)
- Togo, A., & Tanaka, I. (2018). Spglib: A software library for crystal symmetry search. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1808.01590. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.01590>
- Tuckerman, M. (2010). *Statistical mechanics: Theory and molecular simulation*. Oxford university press.
- Turney, J., Landry, E., McGaughey, A., & Amon, C. (2009). Predicting phonon properties and thermal conductivity from anharmonic lattice dynamics calculations and molecular dynamics simulations. *Phys. Rev. B*, 79(6), 64301. doi:[10.1103/physrevb.79.064301](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.79.064301)
- van der Walt, S., Colbert, S. C., & Varoquaux, G. (2011). The numpy array: A structure for efficient numerical computation. *Computing in Science Engineering*, 13(2), 22–30. doi:[10.1109/MCSE.2011.37](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.37)
- West, D., & Estreicher, S. K. (2006). First-principles calculations of vibrational lifetimes and decay channels: Hydrogen-related modes in Si. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96(11), 22–25. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.115504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.115504)